UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,522	02/26/2004	L. Alex Pranger	BIOSTAR.04.01	6459	
Ms. Abanti B.	7590 04/12/2007 Singla, Esq.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Bartunek & Bhattacharyya, Ltd. Suite 405 10420 Little Patuxent Parkway Columbia, MD 21044			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3771		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	PAP	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	᠆.
٦,	-1
X	X
//	/}
v	v

	Application No.	787,522 PRANGER ET AL.	
Office Action Communication	10/787,522		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven O. Douglas	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION  36(a). In no event, however, may a remainder of the second and will expire SIX (6) MON  . cause the application to become AF	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED, (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.		·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·	
Application Papers	4	•	
	_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		and the Constitution	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompliant may not request that are able to the	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•
	animer. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· <del></del>	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	<b></b> ·	

Art Unit: 3771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 7,080,646. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims define a portable ventilator that anticipates the now claimed subject matter. Examiner takes Official Notice that anticipation falls well within the scope and definition of Obviousness. Therefore to have claims drawn to the now claimed subject matter would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Examiner's Noticed fact. See also *In re Goodman* cited above for further support of Examiner's position.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeVries'133 et al.

The DeVries et al. reference discloses a portable ventilator comprising a pneumatic subsystem 30, a power subsystem (i.e. battery), a sensor subsystem (see col. 8, lines 32-38), a logic board (i.e. an implied part of controller 12) and a recessed control panel (see Figure 3).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bird, Sato et al., Devries et al., and Derechanin et al. references pertain to ventilator systems cited in the related parent application.

Claims 2-12 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

4/9/07

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) on 571-272-1000.

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3771

SD

4/9/07